

## **RFP ADDENDUM #1**

Date of Addendum: April 26, 2024

### **NOTICE TO ALL POTENTIAL RESPONDENTS**

The Request for Proposals (RFP) is modified as set forth in this Addendum. The original RFP Documents and any previously issued addenda remain in full force and effect, except as modified by this Addendum, which is hereby made part of the RFP. Respondent shall take this Addendum into consideration when preparing and submitting its Proposal.

### **PROPOSAL SUBMITTAL DEADLINE**

The Proposal submittal deadline remains the same and is not changed by this Addendum.

### **1.0 QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

The following questions and answers are provided as a matter of information to clarify issues raised about the RFP. The below clarifications and revised language are made part of the above cited Request for Proposals.

Item	Comment
1.1	<p><u>Question:</u> Is Aspen Street Architects precluded from submitting a proposal and being considered for this project?</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <i>Aspen Street Architects are not precluded from submitting a proposal. No design has been selected, Calaveras Transit Agency (CTA) is seeking the most experienced and qualified consultant team for the project.</i></p>
1.2	<p><u>Question:</u> What is the anticipated project budget and/or budget range?</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <i>The project budget range is anticipated to be \$400,000 – \$700,000. This does not include optional tasks.</i></p>
1.3	<p><u>Question:</u> What is the overall construction budget proposed for the facility?</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <i>CTA has estimated the construction phase at approximately \$13,000,000.</i></p>
1.4	<p><u>Question:</u> Is WGA who prepared the Due Diligence package precluded from participating on Design Teams responding to the RFP?</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <i>WGA is not precluded from participating on a consulting team. No design has been selected, Calaveras Transit Agency (CTA) is seeking the most experienced and qualified firm for the project.</i></p>

1.5	<p><u>Question:</u> What is the status of the CEQA/NEPA process related to this site and project? The RFP in some places indicates that the consultant needs CEQA/NEPA experience, that the consultant will provide technical studies for the CEQA/NEPA documents, that CEQA/NEPA approval is needed, and the consultant shall obtain approval for CEQA. It is not clear who is responsible for the overall CEQA/NEPA process, what the status is, and what specifically the design team is being asked to take on as project scope responsibility.</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <i>The CEQA/NEPA process has not yet been initiated. The selected team will conduct all work needed to obtain CEQA/NEPA approval for this project. We are relying on the consultant team to be the experts in the scope details needed to obtain CEQA/NEPA approval and prepare their scope and fees accordingly to be able to accomplish that task.</i></p>
1.6	<p><u>Question:</u> Page 10 indicates the contract termination date is June 30,2026. Is that the date construction is expected to be completed, and what is the expected start date?</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <i>June 30<sup>th</sup>, 2026 is an estimated target for completion of the scope requested in this RFP. If the project requires additional time to complete the proposed scope, contract extensions may be granted.</i></p>
1.7	<p><u>Question:</u> Page 3 indicates the project will be constructed using “LEED guidelines.” Does CTA want the design team to design to the LEED guidelines only, or is the intent to submit the project for full LEED certification? Also, what minimum level of project certification is required?</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <i>LEED Certification is not required. LEED and appropriate CA Green Building Standards Codes are to be followed for design. The CTA does not want to exceed minimum standards. We are relying on the expertise of the selected consultant team to advise us on appropriate green building standards and certifications.</i></p>
1.8	<p><u>Question:</u> Please confirm Survey scope, topographic survey and boundary was provided in the RFP as Attachment 3. Will this be provided to the team for design or will we need to include a separate detailed design survey and boundary as part of the scope of work per Task 2.</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <i>The CTA will provide the CAD file of the initial site survey. As can be seen in the RFP attachments, the survey established terrain features and right of way boundary lines. The selected design team will be responsible for supplemental survey needed to complete the right of way, design, and establish any features not collected in the original survey. The selected team should verify the accuracy of the provided CAD file.</i></p>

1.9	<p><u>Question:</u> CTA notes its existing fleet composition in the RFP which also includes 22 EV Vans; does CTA have any intents to modify or expand its fleet in the near future that should be taken into consideration as part of the design? I.e. Electrical Chargers for Buses, additional feel parking stalls, etc.</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <i>CTA only has two (2) EV Vans with chargers. CTA does have a fleet replacement plan that includes electrical buses and chargers in future years.</i></p>
1.10	<p><u>Question:</u> Is there a preliminary project schedule for design and construction that can be shared?</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <i>No.</i></p>
1.11	<p><u>Question:</u> It is noted in the Due Diligence report Section B by WGA that the existing water distribution system (by CPUD) may be undersized and that offsite water main upgrades may be required. Has there been any additional discussion with CPUD regarding this development? How should we approach this in the RFP?</p> <p><u>Answer:</u> <i>No. Task 4 identifies Utility coordination. Selected consulting team will be expected to coordinate with local utilities.</i></p>

**END OF ADDENDUM**